

I wish to reiterate my previous objections as laid out below.

I have tried various times to contact yourselves by phone but had no response so therefore could not receive any advice as to how to format this letter.

In particular I would like to highlight the use of batteries as it is self evident that they will have to be installed at a later date will cause our local community. After reading the documentation sent to us it would appear that the inspectorate are taking a very laid back approach.

There will be a large tract of good agricultural land lost to the new proposed road development which will increase the amount of heavy traffic thus adding to, instead of decreasing, the amount of air and noise pollution we will have to abide.

The use of wind turbines would be more acceptable as they could be placed close to pylons at various sites therefore doing away with the need for new road structures and also the land could still be available for growing crops.

This is going to be an expensive project as we now hear it is involving the compulsory purchase of a number of properties in Essendine which is diabolical as they have spent a lot of money on improvements to enable them to enjoy their homes for years to come, or so they hoped.

Previous submission:

1. This is a large area of quality agricultural land to cover in glass especially now we are suffering food shortages a better solution would be to install solar panels above car parks, on factory roofs brown field sites, decommissioned power stations,(of which there will be a surplus due to the price of gas ) .Flooding is inevitable since the area already floods closing the Bourne to Stamford road. Adding more drain off may affect the East Coast main line.

2. The planned re-routing of the roads will destroy the designated protected verges which have been there for generations (rare grasses/flowers)

3 The area is already under large scale housing development therefore even more farming land will be lost.

4 Having moved to this part of the country for its visual amenity and pleasant walks I find this development totally incongruous. The visual surveillance (CCTV) required for the site would be an invasion of our privacy.

5 The batteries which will inevitably have to be used can be self-igniting and are extremely difficult to extinguish . The noxious gases generated would invariably blow over Carlby due to the prevailing westerly wind which could result in a serious health risk.

6 Other options have not been explored ie wind turbines, the same output could be achieved with relatively few wind turbines thereby saving acres of good arable land.

7 In conclusion:

in view of recent global events would it be wise to have such a large infrastructure owned by a foreign regime.